

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/708,903	03/31/2004	Chih-Chuan Cheng	CEIP0052USA	2902	
27765	7590 12/06/2006		EXAMINER		
NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION			PATEL, ANAND B		
P.O. BOX 500 MERRIFIELI	5 O, VA 22116	/A 22116		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
		• •	2116		
				DATE MAILED: 12/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commence	10/708,903	CHENG ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anand Patel	2116	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC a, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this con BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 S</u>	entember 2005	•	
	s action is non-final.		•
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		tters, prosecution as to the	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	·	·	
Disposition of Claims			
·			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application		-	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrages 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	will from consideration.		
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
8) Claim(s) is/are objected to:	election requirement		
O/ES Claim(3) 1-00 are subject to restriction and/or	cicotion requirement.		
Application Papers		•	•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•	•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	,
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFI	R 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO	D-152 .
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	ı		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		•
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have bee	n received in this National S	Stage
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.	
		•	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of 6) Other: _	Informal Patent Application .	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I: Claims 1-11, drawn to computer power control, classified in class 713, subclass 300.
 - II: Claims 12-33, drawn to computer access control, classified in class 455, subclass 420.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are directed to related products. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed are intended to perform different functions. Invention I deals with power control in a networked system while Invention II deals with access control to a remote device in a network. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point

Application/Control Number: 10/708,903

Art Unit: 2116

out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anand Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-7211. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rehana Perveen can be reached on (571) 272-3676. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2116

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ABP

JAMES K. TRUSICUS PRIMARY EXAMINER